

Proposal Title :	Housekeeping amendments to draft Ryde LEP 2014
Proposal Summary :	The housekeeping planning proposal (PP) proposes amendments to draft Ryde LEP 2014.
	Most of the proposed amendments are supported. The housekeeping planning proposal is
	also an opportunity to correct errors in draft Ryde LEP 2014 and to update draft Ryde LEP
	2014 to reflect submissions to that draft LEP.
	The second encoderants are described in detail in the attached Table 4
	The proposed amendments are described in detail in the attached Table 1.
	The amendments SUPPORTED involve:
	Zones/ precincts:
	_LEP Centres maps
	_Ryde Town Centre precincts map
	_Lot size map
	_Flood planning map
	_IN2 land use table
	Clauses:
	_CI.1.2 Aims of Plan
	_CI.4.1A Dual occupancy (attached) strata subdivision
	_CI.4.3A Exceptions to height of buildings
	_CI.4.5A Density controls for Zone R2 Low Density Residential
	_CI.4.5B Macquarie Park Corridor
	CI.4.6 Exceptions to development standards
	CI.6.6 Environmental Sustainability
	Sites:
	_11-15 Farm St Gladesville
	2 Hughes St West Ryde
	_North Ryde Common
	_1 Monash Rd Gladesville
	391 Blaxland Rd Ryde
	_14-20 Oxford St Gladesville
	177
	_436-484 Victoria Rd Gladesville (as listed in Schedule 1)
	_2 Nile CI Marsfield
	RMS requests:
	removals from and additions to the Land Reservation Acquisition map
	Land Zoning map
	_Land Loning map
	Heritage:
	_various amendments relating to descriptions, additions and deletions.
	_venous amenuments reading to assemptions, additions and deletions.
	The amendments NOT SUPPORTED are:
	- Including 'home business' and 'home industry' as permissible uses in the R2 Low Density
	Residential, R3 Medium Density Residential and R4 High Density Residential zones.
	- Including 'secondary dwellings' as a permissible use in the R1 General Residential, R2 Low
	Density Residential, R3 Medium Density Residential and R4 High Density Residential zones.
	 Including 'building identification signs' as permissible in the IN2 Light Industrial zone.
	- Amending Clause 6.6 Environmental sustainability in relation to workplace travel plans.
	- Zoning, floor space ratio and height amendments to the Ryde Civic Centre site.
	Page 1 of 9 05 May 2014 09:23 an

- Amending Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses for 131 & 133 Herring Road and 208 Epping Road, Marsfield to permit a 'medical centre'.				
PP Number	PP_2014_RYDEC_001_00	Dop File No :	14/04723	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	03-Mar-2014	LGA covered :	Ryde	
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA :	Ryde City Council	
State Electorate :	LANE COVE RYDE	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Housekeeping			
Location Details				
Street :				
Suburb :	City		Postcode :	
Land Parcel : Mu	Itiple across entire LGA			
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Sarah Waterworth			
Contact Number :	0285754113			
Contact Email :	sarah.waterworth@planning.nsw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Susan Wotton			
Contact Number :	0299528204			
Contact Email :	SWotton@ryde.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Sandy Shewell			
Contact Number :	0285754115			
Contact Email :	sandy.shewell@planning.nsw.g	jov.au		
Land Release Data	3			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	3	

MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) ∶		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment	The Department's Code of Practice in relation to communications and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with to the best of the Department's knowledge.			
	The Department has not met with Department been advised of any r the proposal.			
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	All amendments are detailed in the attached Table 1, which includes Council justification and Department comment. The planning proposal is generally supported because it updates the draft Ryde LEP 2014 in a manner consistent with the NSW strategic planning framework.			
External Supporting Notes :	Council supports the planning proposal because:			
	_it will bring into effect amendments identified within submissions received to DLEP 2014 from Government agencies and the community, and supported by Council; _correct omissions and anomalies to DLEP 2014; and			
	_bring into effect amendments as and the updating of the LEP base		tions related to DLEP 2014	
Adequacy Assessment				
Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)				
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	Council states the intended ou	tcomes of the planning prope	osal are to:	

(a) Incorporate changes to DLEP 2014, identified in submissions and subsequently supported by Council during the development of DLEP 2014, so as to better reflect community expectations.

(b) Improve the operation of DLEP 2014 through the correction of errors and omissions.
(c) Incorporate Council-initiated resolutions made with respect to DLEP 2014 so as to reflect the strategic direction Council has taken for the future development and growth of the City of Ryde and update the LEP with respect to the recent studies.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal provides an explanation of provisions for each amendment.

ousekeeping amendr	nents to draft Ryde	LEP 2014
	A number of amendr either:	nents have been included by the Department because they were
	_included in the Cou _raised in agency su _were matters that n	
Justification - s55 (2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the [Director General? Yes
b) S.117 directions iden	tified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Director	General's agreement	 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.4 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sudney 2026
		7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
	al's agreement required?	
	ard Instrument (LEPs) O	
 d) Which SEPPs have the set of the set of		SEPP No 4—Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 6—Number of Storeys in a Building SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks SEPP No 22—Shops and Commercial Premises SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes) SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Major Projects) 2005 SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment) 2007 SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		lan for Sydney 2036, draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031, ubregional Strategy and the Ryde 2021 Community Strategic Plan.
Have inconsistencies wi	th items a), b) and d) beir	ng adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	3.1 Residential Zon	osal as submitted by Ryde Council is inconsistent with s117 Direction nes because the item to amend the zoning, FSR and height of the site proposes the loss of land zoned for residential purposes.
		is not supported by the Department because it is the subject of a proposal (with gateway determination) for which this inconsistency

has already been approved by the former Director General.

Consequently, if the Gateway agrees that the item to amend the planning controls for the Ryde Civic Centre should not be included within this housekeeping planning proposal, there are no remaining inconsistencies with section 117 Directions.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : Ryde Council has identified a 28 day exhibition period. This is considered reasonable.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

LEP :

Due Date : Comments in

relation to Principal

DLEP 2014 is expected to be made around June 2014.

Council's internal review and public exhibition of DLEP 2014 resulted in a number of post-exhibition changes that, collectively, are significant enough to require re-exhibition. Rather than delay the making of DLEP 2014, this planning proposal addresses the majority of the post-exhibition changes sought by Council.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is needed to incorporate changes to DLEP 2014 resulting from: recent studies; Council resolutions; submissions from Government agencies and the correction of errors and anomalies.
	All amendments are detailed in the attached Table 1, which includes Council justification and Department comment.
e.	Item 13 is discussed below because Council does not agree with the Department's position.
	ITEM 13 Amending Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses for 131 & 133 Herring Road and 208 Epping Road, Marsfield to permit a 'medical centre' - SEE TAG A FOR A MAP
	Council has proposed the amendment in response to submissions from the landwowner.
	COUNCIL JUSTIFICATION FOR ITEM 13 133 Herring Road has approval for 'professional consulting rooms and the landowner has

submitted two submissions to DLEP 2014 wanting to expand and intensify the current medical activities to the adjoining land (131 Herring Road and 208 Epping Road).

Access to the site is via Herring Road in close proximity to the intersection of Herring and Epping Roads.

Council supports the expansion of the use via Schedule 1 based on:

_part of the site is currently being used as consulting rooms; _development of all three sites would result in better parking and traffic outcomes –

access to the site could be relocated further away from the intersection of Herring/ Epping Roads;

_the introduction of a land use which is in line with the objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone, i.e. to enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents; and

_maintaining a FSR of 0.5:1 and a maximum height of 9.5m.

Council does not support a rezoning of the site to B1 Neighbourhood Centre because it believes that would substantially impact on the overall character of the area by virtue of both the nature of the land uses permitted and the development controls that would apply.

Council consider a strategic study of the subject site and area on the southern side of Epping Road is required prior to any change of zoning that would result in an expansion of land uses as permitted.

Council's Local Planning Study, 2010 recommended such a study be undertaken, however it is unlikely to be completed in the short term due to financial reasons.

DEPARTMENT RESPONSE TO ITEM 13

The amendment as proposed by Council, to amend Schedule 1 is not supported by P&I for the following reasons:

_a rezoning to B1 Neighbourhood Centre would more appropriately support the proposed use for the site, ie medical centre;

_ Council has not provided adequate justification for the use of Schedule 1. The draft Practice Note on Schedule 1 uses states Schedule 1 can be used for additional permitted uses in exceptional circumstances where it has been demonstrated by Council that there is no other possible solution to permitting a use; and

_the rezoning of the site to B1 is considered appropriate for the area. The site is located in an area in close proximity to the mixed use zone of Herring Road, Macquarie University and the Macquarie shopping centre - an area containing commercial and high density residential development. The area will increase in mixed use intensity, in the future, with the development of the Herring Road Urban Activation Precinct. Opposite the site (across Herring Road) is the Ranch Hotel which has recently been rezoned from R2 to B1 to accommodate a retail liquor store. Further north along Epping Road (corner with Balaclava Road), the corner lot is also zoned B1 and contains a supermarket.

A planning proposal proposing: a rezoning of the site, appropriate FSR and height controls, addressing the traffic impacts, and impacts on adjoining residential development is considered a more appropriate way forward to enable additional uses on this site.

	s117 Direction 3.1			with best planning prac	Strategy tice.
	to the Ryde Civic	Residential 2	stent with all relevant s117 D Zones because item 2 (zoning roposes the loss of land zon	, FSR and height amen	ndments
			separate planning proposal (is already been approved by		ation)
Environmental social economic impacts :	proposal. None o	f the amendm	nental or social impacts are r ents would impact any critica ical communities.		-
	requested. The in dwellings and the	pacts of the traffic impac	ed with item 13 if it were to p development of a medical cer ts on the Herring Road and E any planning proposal being	ntre adjoining residenti pping Road intersectio	al
Assessment Process					
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environ Transport for NSV Department of He Transport for NSV	N alth	tage I Maritime Services		
Is Public Hearing by the I	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :	As detailed, some amendments are		s are SUPPORTED by the Dep RTED.	partmnet and some	
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional stu	idies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons	1				
Identify any internal cons	ultations. if required	1:			
No internal consultation					
Is the provision and fund	ng of state infrastru	icture relevant	to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					

Schedule 5 Heritage_as amended 21Feb2014.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 1 - Copy of Officers report to Council	Proposal	Yes
Extract from Agenda of meeting 8 October 2013 - Part		
l).pdf		
Attachment 1 - Copy of Officers report to Council	Proposal	Yes
Extract from Agenda of meeting 8 October 2013 - Part		
?).pdf		
Attachment 2 - Copy of minutes for the ~(Official	Proposal	Yes
Records Copy Extract from Minutes of Council Meeting		
B October 2013).pdf		
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal - Attachment WW.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal - Attachment XX Part	Proposal	Yes
.pdf		
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal - Attachment XX Part	Proposal	Yes
2.pdf		
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal - Attachment YY and	Proposal	Yes
/Y- 1.pdf		
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal - Attachment ZZ.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal - Attachments 1A -	Proposal	Yes
3A_Part1.pdf		
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal - Attachments 1A -	Proposal	Yes
23A_Part2.pdf		
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal - Attachments 1A -	Proposal	Yes
23A_Part3.pdf		
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal - Attachments 1A -	Proposal	Yes
23A_Part4.pdf		
Attachment 4 - Communication Engagement Plan.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 5 - RMS submissions.pdf	Proposal	Yes
. Letter to DoPI re Gateway determination	Proposal	Yes
lousekeeping PP.pdf		
Addtiional maps to support planning proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Sennelong final report.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Attachment 3 - Planning Proposal for LEP	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

	(a) the planning proposal and all associated studies/assessments and reports must be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
Additional Information :	The planning propsoal is supported subject to the following conditions:
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	3.1 Residential Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones

Housekeeping amendn	nents to draft Ryde LEP 2014
	publicly available as identified in the current Guide to Preparing LEPs.
	2. Consultation is required with the following public authority under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
	(a) Office of Environment and Heritage (b) Transport for NSW
	(c) Department of Health (d) Transport for NSW – Roads and Maritime Services
	The public authorities are to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. This public authority is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that it will require additional time to comment on the proposal. The public authority may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal.
	3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing.
4	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is 12 months from the week following the date of the gateway determination.
	5. Council's request for plan making delegations in respect of this planning proposal is supported.
	6. Council is to amend the planning proposal to include the additional items listed in Table 1.
Supporting Reasons :	The amendments SUPPORTED by the Department are supported because:
	1. It builds upon the mandatory Standard Instrument Aims and Zone objectives and reflects the feedback received during exhibition and Council's internal review of the draft LEP, and addresses outstanding agency submissions.
	2. It is consistent with Council's own strategic plans and the NSW strategic planning
	framework. 3. It makes minor changes, corrects errors and updates DLEP 2014 in line with recent Council studies and resolutions.
	The amendments NOT SUPPORTED by the Department are not supported either because:
	1. the amendments do not have strategic planning merit; 2. the amendments are already subject of a separate planning proposal;
	3. the amendments are not required because they are already covered by State
	Environmental Planning Policies; or 4. the amendments have been made as post-exhibition changes to DLEP 2014 by the Department.
	1
Signature:	Min
Signature.	
Printed Name:	5/5/14 Date: Tim Archer

×.



TAG A - 131 & 133 Herring Road and 208 Epping Road, Marsfield (Item 13 of planning proposal)

Current zoning: R2 Low Density Residential



.

Current FSR: 0.5:1



Current height: 9.5m

